



A Review of the Early Care and Education Literature: Evidence Base for Touchpoints

Brazelton Touchpoints Center EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This executive summary provides an empirical and theoretical foundation for Touchpoints, focusing on its developmental underpinnings, its relational approach, and its application in the child care context.

Brazelton Touchpoints Center
1295 Boylston Street ▪ Suite 320
Boston, MA 02215
(857)218-4451

www.touchpoints.org

Touchpoints is Developmental

The Touchpoints Theory

Departing from classic stage theorists by emphasizing the multidimensionality of development, Brazelton notes that a burst in one developmental thread often is linked with a backslide, or “regression” in another, and hypothesizes that these predictable periods of regression happen in service of development.

Empirical Background

- It is now commonly understood that early childhood is one of the most critical phases of the lifespan. There has been a veritable flood of early child development research in the past two decades, sparking an unprecedented public interest in the first years of a child’s life.¹
- Beginning in the late 19th century, several theorists began to mark out early childhood as a series of stages. These thinkers used linear models to describe children’s movement from infancy to adulthood, suggesting a continuum of critical periods of development, which were posited as universal, predictable and cumulative.^{2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12} Stage models have retained their modern influence, providing the conceptual underpinning for most subsequent thinking in the field. Nonetheless, they are largely considered today to be too reductive, too culturally-specific in their assertions of universalism, and too narrow to respond practically to the complexities of children’s lives.¹³
- Contemporary theories about stages of development has called into question the theories of linear progression of classic stages, regarding them as more variable, as happening in fits and starts, in *bursts and regressions*.^{14,15,16,17,18} Cognitive psychologists, have observed patterns of “U-shaped development,”¹⁹ whereby learning is characterized by the demonstration of a skill, followed by a seeming loss of or regression in that function or set of functions, and then a later re-emergence of the skill.^{18,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28} Research also supports neurobiological underpinnings of developmental surges and regressions in young children.^{29,30,31}
- Researchers^{14,32,33,34,35,36,37,29,38,39} have documented *relational* processes at work during periods of developmental reorganization, observing that regressive periods in functioning manifest themselves in predictable periods of increased conflict in the parent-child interaction. Even the timing of these developmental shifts may affect the affective, and therefore, interactional, dimensions of future parent-child relationships.^{40,41,42} Impact on parent-child relationships has implication for a broad range of child outcomes.⁴³

Touchpoints is Relational

The Touchpoints Approach

At its core, the Touchpoints Approach assumes that development occurs at the nexus of biological, environmental, and interactional influences, and highlights the centrality of caregiving relationships in determining developmental outcomes. Brazelton refers to periods of developmental regression followed by bursts as “touchpoints” because they provide opportunities for providers working with families to “touch” into the family system, helping parents reflect on their child’s behavior and anticipate and positively respond to periods of disorganization. Orienting and preparing families around these “touchpoints” nurtures the relationship between parent and child and supports families meet the medical, educational, social, and emotional needs of their children.

Empirical Background

- The ecological model of development, which suggests that the loci of study should be the interplay between person and contexts,^{44,45} has had a vast and consequential impact on the field of child development research, influencing methodologies,^{46,47,48,49,50,51,52,53} theoretical approaches,^{48,51,52,54,55,56,57,58,59,60} and programs and policies.^{1,61,62}
- It has been well-documented that parental sensitivity and responsiveness to a child’s genetically-determined temperament^{63,64} contributes to an interaction pattern in which the parent and infant are aware of and responsive to the affect and behavior of the other.^{65,66,67,68,69,70} The coordination of mother-infant interactions is central to the parent-child relationship.⁷¹
- Relationships, and the contexts in which they occur, are extraordinarily consequential for children’s development. Early parent-child relationships have powerful effects on later functioning,^{62,72,73,74,75} Early parent-child relationships have been shown to perform a regulatory role in brain architecture^{76,77} and support social-emotional development^{78,79,80} which in turn links to cognitive development and learning^{81,82} such as memory, attention, and decision making.⁸³
- The impact of children’s developmental shifts on parents is particularly noteworthy in the context of regression theories: **given the vulnerability of the child at these times, and the inevitability of the occurrence of regression, it is a time when parents need added support during these stressful periods.**^{15,16,35,84}

Touchpoints has Practical “Real-World” Applications

The Touchpoints *Early Child Care and Education Application*

Systems of caregiving surrounding the youngest children in the field of health and human services are often focused on the potential for deficits in child and parent functioning. This focus can be counter-productive; leaving families feeling under-valued and un-welcomed, and even perceived within systems of care as unwilling to engage.¹⁶¹ In its practical application, the Touchpoints Approach offers early education professionals a framework to build better partnerships with families around mutual strengths-based caregiving and parent engagement, all of which benefit child outcomes. Implementation of the model varies, depending on its venue, but usually early educators participate in an intensive training program whereby they engage in training about child development and relationship-building skills and strategies aimed to foster parenting skills and more enjoyable parent-child interactions. Professionals then return to their communities and incorporate the Approach into practice.

Empirical Background

- Ecological models of development make explicit the variety of settings in which children develop; most notable is early child care and education.^{1,85} Although some research has documented the negative impacts of full-time non-parental care for very young children on children’s cognitive and emotional development,^{86,87,88,89,90,91} other literature has shown high quality child care to have positive effects on child and parental well-being.^{1,92,93,94,95,96,97,98,99}
- There are myriad “best practice” elements that have been associated with higher quality child care centers and better child outcomes. Here we cite research in the areas most relevant to the Touchpoints Approach: **higher quality early education and care is related to:** higher quantity and quality of provider education and training,^{93,98,100,101,102,103,104,105,106,107, 108,109,110,111,112} training specific to child development and early education,^{102,113,114} continuity of care (lower rates of teacher turnover),^{1,115,116,117,118,119} positive teacher-child relationships,^{102,120,121,122, 123,124,125} and **positive parent-provider relationships.**^{126,127,128,129,130,131,132,133,134,135,136}
- The findings about the importance of relationships in children’s early childcare experience are particularly noteworthy in light of the current political emphasis on cognitive performance and accountability in early education settings. It is often implied in public discourse¹³⁷ that cognitive development-focused early education must be emphasized *at the expense of* social/emotional development-focused early education. Research suggests, however, that children’s social and emotional development (which occurs in the context of relationships) is inextricably connected with their cognitive capacities.^{1,138,139,140,141,142,143,144,145,146,147}
- Research has shown that it can be difficult for teachers and parents to establish and maintain positive relationships, both for logistical reasons (e.g., little face-to-face time, not enough modes of communication, etc.)^{148,149,150,151} and for more complex reasons, such as cultural differences between teachers and parents about childrearing,^{128,152,153,154,155,156,157} different opinions about how much communication is necessary or desirable,^{155,158,159} or other values and issues, such as whether the mother should work or stay home with her children.^{158,160}

The Touchpoints Approach has Proven Effectiveness

The Touchpoints *Early Care and Education Evaluation*

The effectiveness of the Touchpoints Approach has been carefully assessed in a large-scale evaluation effort collaboratively designed with BTC, and independently implemented and analyzed by the Department of Urban and Environmental Policy and Planning in the Eliot-Pearson Department of Child Development of Tufts University; under the direction of principal investigators, M. Ann Easterbooks, Ph.D and Francine Jacobs, Ph.D. ¹⁶²

Evaluation Design and Results

- A randomly assigned, matched wait-list control group experimental design was used to analyze dimensions of parental stress and relational functioning between parents and providers in urban, ethnically diverse early care centers which were provided Touchpoints training compared to centers whose providers had not yet received the training. ¹⁶²
- Providers and parents participated in completion of standardized measures including: (a) Parent Caregiver Relationship Scale (PCRS)¹⁶³ and (b) Parenting Stress Index (PSI)¹⁶⁴ at two time periods--baseline and 6 months follow-up.
- Results demonstrated that there were significant group differences over time regarding parent-provider quality of relationships and parenting stress, and both of these constructs were directly effected by the application of the Touchpoints Approach. ^{162, 165}
- *Families with providers trained in the Touchpoints Approach reported better quality relationships than did families without trained providers.*
- *Families with providers trained in the Touchpoints Approach showed more stable stress levels compared to families without trained providers, whose stress levels increased.*
- The developmental and relational intervention of Touchpoints implements strategies to provide strength-based, collaborative support for children and their families. The results of this study indicate that the Approach improves parents' experience of their relationships with their child's ECE provider, and that this improved relational functioning stabilizes parental stress during periods of known risk for disruption in familial and developmental functioning. ^{162, 165}

The Touchpoints Approach empowers families and child care providers alike. Touchpoints values parents as the “expert” and credits them to trust their own experiences, knowledge, and instincts in forming relationships with their children. From this standpoint, Touchpoints refocuses caregivers to build communication with families and focus on the parent-child relationship, with a special focus on predicting and supporting families through periods of increased stress associated with normative but challenging developmental regressions. The Approach represents a paradigmatic shift away from the idea of a child being placed in out-of-home care, and towards the idea of a provider joining with a family to create one unified system of care. The quality of children’s experience in Early Care and Education settings and within their families, is enhanced when parents and ECE providers collaborate as partners. Relationships between families and providers can have effects on parents’ behavior with children, wherein more positive relationships with providers can promote parental sensitivity and responsiveness to their child. Together, providers and families can make use of the Touchpoints Approach to establish shared, caregiving partnerships which link to outcomes such as increased parent engagement, positive parent-child interaction and provider/family/system-wide cooperation, all effects that have proven to enhance child outcomes. We see this outcome to be integral to our commitment to honor a child’s social and emotional development as occurring within the quality of their relationships, and as the foundation for their learning across all domains of development.¹⁶⁶

Key Contributors:

Jayne Singer, Ph.D.

Jessica Goldberg, MA

Elisa Vele-Tabaddor, Ph.D.

REFERENCES

- 1 Shonkoff, J.P. & Phillips, D. (Eds.) (2000). *From neurons to neighborhoods: The science of early development*. Committee on Integrating the Science of Early Childhood Development. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- 2 Erikson, E. (1950). *Childhood and society*. New York, NY: W.W. Norton.
- 3 Freud, S. (1933). *The interpretation of dreams*. Oxford, England: Macmillan.
- 4 Freud, S. (1938). *The basic writings of Sigmund Freud*. A.A. Brill (Ed.). Oxford, England: Modern Library.
- 5 Freud, S. (1940). An outline of psycho-analysis. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis*, 21, 27-84. United Kingdom: Institute of Psychoanalysis.
- 6 Gesell, A.L. (1929). *Infancy and human growth*. New York, NY: The Macmillan Company.
- 7 Gesell, A. (1947). Relation between maturation and acculturation. *Archives of Neurology & Psychiatry*, 57, 118-119; 120-125.
- 8 Gesell, A.(1948). *Studies in child development*. Oxford, England: Harper.
- 9 Gesell, A., Halverson, H.M., Thomson, H., Ilg, F.L., Castner, B.M., Ames, L.B., & Amatruda, C.S. (1940). *The first five years of life, the preschool years*. Oxford, England: Harpers.
- 10 Gesell, A. & Ilg, F.L. (1943). *Infant and child in the culture of today*. New York, NY: Harper Bros.
- 11 Gesell, A., Ilg, F.L., Ames, L.B., & Bullis, G.E. (1946). *The child from five to ten*. Oxford, England: Harper.
- 12 Piaget, J. (1930). *The child's conception of physical causality*. New York, NY: Harcourt Brace.
- 13 Lerner, R.M. & Castellino, D.R. (2002). Contemporary developmental theory and adolescence: Developmental systems and applied developmental science. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 31, 122-135.
- 14 Brazelton, T.B. (1992). *Touchpoints: Emotional and behavioral development*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

- 15 Brazelton, T.B. (1993). *Touchpoints: Your child's emotional and behavioral development*. New York, NY: Viking.
- 16 Brazelton, T.B. (1998). How to help parents of young children: The Touchpoints model. *Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 3(3), 481-483.
- 17 Plooij, F.X. (2003). The trilogy of mind. In M. Heimann (Ed.), *Regression periods in human infancy* (pp.81-96). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- 18 Siegler, R. (2004). U-shaped interest in u-shaped development—and what it means. *Journal of Cognition and Development*, 5(1), 1-10.
- 19 Bever, T.G. (1982). *Regressions in mental development: Basic phenomena and theories*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- 20 Cashon, C. H. & Cohen, L. B. (2004). Beyond U-Shaped development in infants' processing of faces: An information-processing account. *Cognition and Development*, 5, 59-80.
- 21 Feldman, D.H. & Benjamin, A.C. (2004). Going backward to go forward: The critical role of regressive moment in cognitive development. *Journal of Cognition and Development*, 5(1), 97-102.
- 22 Marcovitch, S. & Lewkowicz, D.J. (2004). U-shaped functions: Artifact or hallmark of development? *Journal of Cognition and Development*, 5(1), 113-118.
- 23 Morton, J.B. & Trehub, S.E. (2001). Children's understanding of emotion in speech. *Child Development*, 72(3), 834-843.
- 24 Ruff, H.A. & Rothbart, M.K. (1996). *Attention in early development: Themes and variations*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- 25 Rogers, T.T., Rakison, D.H., & McClelland, J.L. (2004). U-Shaped Curves in Development: A PDP Approach. *Journal of Cognition and Development*, 5, 1, 137-145.
- 26 Schneider-Rosen, K. & Wenz-Gross, M. (1990). Patterns of compliance from eighteen to thirty months of age. *Child Development*, 61(1), 104-112.
- 27 Werker, J. F., Fennell, C. T., Corcoran, K. M., & Stager, C. L. (2002). Infants' ability to learn phonetically similar words: Effects of age and vocabulary size. *Infancy*, 3, 1–30.
- 28 Werker, J.F., Hall, D.G., & Fais, L. (2004). Reconstructing U-shaped functions. *Journal of Cognition and Development*, 5(1), 147-151.

- 29 van de Rijt-Plooij, H.H. & Plooij, F.X. (1993). Distinct periods of mother-infant conflict
in normal development: Sources of progress and germs of pathology. *Journal of*
Child Psychology & Psychiatry & Allied Disciplines, 34(2), 229-245.
- 30 Fischer, K.W. & Bidell, T.R. (2005, in press). Dynamic development of action, thought,
and emotion. In R.M. Lerner (Ed.) *Theoretical models of human development* (6th
ed., Vol. 1). New York, NY: Wiley Press.
- 31 Trevarthen, C. & Aitken, K. (2003). Regulation of brain development and age-related
changes in infants' motives: The developmental function of regressive periods. In
M. Heimann (Ed.), *Regression periods in human infancy* (pp. 107-184). Mahwah,
NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- 32 Horwich, R.H. (1974). Regressive periods in primate behavioral development with
reference to other mammals. *Primates*, 15, 141-149.
- 33 Lindahl, L.B., Heimann, M., & Ullstadius, E. (2003). Occurrence of regressive periods
in the normal development of Swedish infants. In M. Heimann (Ed.), *Regression*
periods in human infancy (pp.1-7). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates,
Inc.
- 34 Plooij, F.X. & van de Rijt-Plooij, H.H.C. (1989). Vulnerable periods during infancy:
hierarchically reorganized systems control, stress and disease. *Ethology and*
Sociobiology, 10, 279-296.
- 35 Plooij, F.X. & van de Rijt-Plooij, H.H.C. (1990). Developmental transitions as
successive reorganizations of a control hierarchy. *American Behavioral Scientist*,
34(1), 67-80.
- 36 van de Rijt-Plooij, H.H.C. & Plooij, F.X. (1987). Growing independence, conflict and
learning in mother-infant relations in free-ranging chimpanzees. *Behaviour*, 101,
1-86.
- 37 van de Rijt-Plooij, H.H.C. & Plooij, F.X. (1992). Infantile regressions: Disorganization
and the onset of transition periods. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology*,
10, 129-149.
- 38 Sadurni, M. & Rostan, C. (2003). Reflections on regression periods in the development
of Catalan infants. In M. Heimann (Ed.), *Regression periods in human infancy*
(pp.81-96). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.

- 39 Wolmore, A. & Richer, J. (2003). Detecting infant regression periods: Weak signals in a
noisy environment. In M. Heimann (Ed.), *Regression periods in human infancy*
(pp.81-96). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- 40 Biringen, Z., Emde, R.N., Campos, J.J., & Appelbaum, M.I. (1995). Affective
reorganization in the infant, the mother, and the dyad: The role of upright
locomotion and its timing. *Child Development*, 66, 499-514.
- 41 Campos, J.J. Bertenthal, B.I., Kermoian, R. (1992). Early experience and emotional
development: The emergence of wariness of heights. *Psychological Science*, 3(1),
61-64.
- 42 Mahler, M.S., Pine, F., & Bergman, A. (1975). *The psychological birth of the human
infant: Symbiosis and individuation*. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- 43 National Scientific Council on the Developing Child (2004). *Young children develop in
an environment of relationships*. Working paper No. 1. Brandeis University,
Waltham, MA. www.developingchild.com.
- 44 Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature
and design*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- 45 Bronfenbrenner, U. (1986). Ecology of the family as a context for human development:
Research perspectives. *Developmental Psychology*, 22(6), 723-742.
- 46 Burton, L.M. (April 2005). *Creating risks or advantages? The impact of mother's
intimate union patterns on the development of low-income children*. Paper
presented at the Society for Research in Child Development Biennial Meeting,
Atlanta, GA.
- 47 Johnson, D.J., Jaeger, E., Randolph, S.M., Cauce, A.M., Ward, J., & Early Child Care
Research Network. (2003). Studying the effects of early child care experiences on
the development children of color in the United States: Toward a more inclusive
research agenda. *Child Development*, 74 (5), 1227-1244.
- 48 Lerner, R.M. (1996). Relative plasticity, integration, temporality, and diversity in human
development: A developmental, contextual perspective about theory, process, and
method. *Developmental Psychology*, 32(4), 781-786.
- 49 Lerner, R. M, Lerner, J.V. De Stefanis, I., & Apfel, A. (2001). Understanding
developmental systems in adolescence: Implications for methodological strategies,
data analytic approaches, and training. *Journal of Adolescent Research*, 16(1), 9-27.

- 50 Lerner, R.M., Rothbaum, F., & Boulos, S. (2002). Developmental systems perspective on parenting. In M.H. Bornstein (Ed), (2002). *Handbook of parenting: Vol. 2: Biology and ecology of parenting* (2nd ed.). (pp. 315-344). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- 51 Rogoff, B. (1990). *Apprenticeship in thinking: Cognitive development in social context*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- 52 Rogoff, B. (2003). *The cultural nature of human development*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- 53 Wertsch, J.V & Kanner, B.G. (1992). A sociocultural approach to intellectual development. In R.J. Sternberg & C.A. Berg (Eds.), *Intellectual development*. (pp. 328-349). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- 54 Freeman, M. A. (1997). Demographic correlates of individualism and collectivism. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 28, 321-241.
- 55 Harwood, R.H., Miller, J.G. & Irizarry, N.L. (1995). Culture and attachment: Perceptions of the child in context. In S. Harkness & C. Super (Series Eds.) *Series on culture and human development*. New York, NY: Guilford Press
- 56 Hughes, D.L; Seidman, E. (2002). In pursuit of a culturally anchored methodology. In T.A. Revenson, A.R. D'Augelli, & R. Anthony, et al. (Eds), *Ecological research to promote social change: Methodological advances from community psychology*. (pp. 243-255). New York, NY: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.
- 57 Keefer, C.H., Dixon, S., Tronick, E.Z., Brazelton, T.B. (1991). Cultural mediation between newborn behavior and later development: Implications for methodology in cross-cultural research. In J.K. Nugent, B.M. Lester et al. (Eds.), *The cultural context of infancy, Vol. 2: Multicultural and interdisciplinary approaches to parent-infant relations* (pp. 39-61).
- 58 Rogoff, B. (1982). Integrating context and cognitive development. In M. E. Lamb & A. L. Brown (Eds.), *Advances in developmental psychology, Vol. 2*, 125-170. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- 59 Rogoff, B., Mistry, J., Göncü, A., & Mosier, C. (1993). Guided participation in cultural activity by toddlers and caregivers. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 58 (8, Serial No. 236).
- 60 Super, C.M. & Harkness, S. (1986). The developmental niche: A conceptualization at

the interface of child and culture. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 9, 545-569.

- 61 Bronfenbrenner, U. (2002). Preparing a world for the infant in the Twenty-First Century: The research challenge. In J. Gomes-Pedro, J.K. Nugent, J.G. Young, & T.B. Brazelton (Eds.), *The infant and family in the twenty-first century* (pp. 45-52).
- 62 Zigler, E., Finn-Stevenson, M., & Hall, N.W. (2002). *The first three years and beyond: Brain development and social policy*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- 63 Rothbart, M.K., Derryberry, D., & Posner, M.I. (1994). A psychobiological approach to the development of temperament. In J.E. Bates & T.D. Wachs (Eds.), *Temperament: Individual differences at the interface of biology and behavior* (pp. 83-116). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- 64 Thomas, A., & Chess, S. (1977). *Temperament and development*. New York: Brunner/Mazel.
- 65 Bigelow, Ann E. (1999). Infants' sensitivity to imperfect contingency in social interaction. In P. Rochat, (Ed), *Early social cognition: Understanding others in the first months of life* (pp. 137-154). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- 66 Brazelton, T.B., Tronick, E. Adamson, L., Als, H., & Wise, S. (1975). *Early mother-infant reciprocity, CIBA Foundation Symposium, 33*. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier.
- 67 Fogel, A. & Tholen, E. (1987). Development of early expressive action from a dynamic systems approach. *Developmental Psychology*, 23, 747-761.
- 68 Gergeley, G. & Watson, J. (1999). Early socio-emotional development: contingency perception and the social-biofeedback model. In P. Rochat (Ed.), *Early social cognition*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- 69 Tronick, E.Z. (1998). Dyadically expanded states of consciousness and the process of therapeutic change. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, 19(3), 290-299. John Wiley & Sons.
- 70 Tronick, E.Z. (2003). Emotions and emotional communication in infants. In J. Raphael-Leff (Ed), *Parent-infant psychodynamics: Wild things, mirrors and ghosts* (pp. 35-53). London, England: Whurr Publishers, Ltd..
- 71 Jaffe, J., Beebe, B., Feldstein, S., Crown, C.L., & Jasnow, M.D. (2001). Rhythms of

- dialogue in infancy: Coordinated timing in development. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 66.
- 72 Bowlby, J. (1988). *A secure base*. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- 73 Bradley, R.H., Caldwell, B.M., Rock, S.L., Ramey, C.T., et al., (1989). Home environment and cognitive development in the first three years of life: A collaborative study involving six sites and three ethnic groups in North America. *Developmental Psychology*, 23, 217-255
- 74 Brazelton, T.B., & Cramer, B. (1990). *The first relationship*. New York, NY: Addison Wesley.
- 75 Main, M., Kaplan, N., & Cassidy, J. (1985). Security in infancy, childhood, and adulthood: A move to the level of representation. In I. Bretherton & E. Waters (Eds.), *Growing points of attachment theory and research. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 50 (1-2, Serial No. 209).
- 76 Damasio, A.R. & Damasio, H. (1992). Brain and language. *Scientific American*, 267(3), 88-95.
- 77 Trevarthen, C. (2001). Intrinsic motives for companionship in understanding: Their origin, development and significance for infant mental health. *International Journal of Infant Mental Health*, 11(1-2), 95-131.
- 78 Cassidy, J. (1994). Emotion regulation: Influences of attachment relationships. In N.A. Fox (Ed.), *The development of emotion regulation and dysregulation: Biological and behavioral aspects. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 59(2-3), 228-249 (Serial no. 240).
- 79 Campos, J., & Sternberg, C. (1981). Perception, appraisal, and emotion: The onset of social referencing. In M. Lamb & L. Sherrod (Eds.), *Infant social cognition: Empirical and theoretical considerations*. (pp. 273-314). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- 80 Davies, P.T. & Forman, E.M. (2002). Children's patterns of preserving emotional security in the interparental subsystem. *Child Development*, 73, 1880-1903.
- 81 Cacioppo, J.T., & Berntson, G.G. (1999). The affect system: Architecture and operating characteristics. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 8(5), 133-137.
- 82 Hawley, T. (2000). *Smarting smart: How early experiences affect brain development* (2nd edition).

- 83 Bell, M., & Wolfe, C.D. (2004). Emotion and cognition: An intricately bound developmental process. *Child Development, 75*(2), 366-370.
- 84 Plooij, F., van de Rift-Plooij, J., van der Stelt, J., van Es, B., & Helmers, J. (2003). Illness peaks during infancy and regression periods. In M. Heimann (Ed.), *Regression periods in human infancy* (pp.81-96). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- 85 Phillips, D. & Adams, G. (2001). Child care and our youngest children. *Future of Children, 11*(1), 35-51.
- 86 Barglow, P., Baughn, B.E., & Molitor, N. (1987). Effects of maternal absence due to employment on the quality of infant-mother attachment in a low-risk sample. *Child Development, 58*(4), 945-954.
- 87 Belsky, J. (1986). Infant day care: A cause for concern? *Zero to Three, 6*, 1-9.
- 88 Belsky, J. (2002). Quantity counts: Amount of child care and children's socioemotional development. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, 23*(3), 167-170.
- 89 Belsky, J. & Rovine, M.J. (1988). Nonmaternal care in the first year of life and security of infant-parent attachment. *Child Development, 59*, 157-167.
- 90 Benn, R.K. (1986). Factors promoting secure attachment relationships between employed mothers and their sons. *Child Development, 57*(5), 1224-1231.
- 91 Vandell, D.L. & Corasaniti, M.A. (1992). Variations in early child care: Do they predict subsequent social, emotional, and cognitive differences? *Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 5*(4), 555-572.
- 92 Burchinal, M.R., Peisner-Feinberg, E., Bryant, D.M., Clifford, R. (2000). Children's social and cognitive development and child-care quality: Testing for differential associations related to poverty, gender, or ethnicity. *Applied Developmental Science, 4*(3), 149-165.
- 93 Early Child Care Research Network. (1996) Characteristics of infant-child care: Factors contributing to positive caregiving. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 11*(3), 269-306.
- 94 Early Child Care Research Network, (1997). The effects of infant child care on infant-

- mother attachment security: Results of the NICHD Study of Early Child Care. *Child Development*, 68, 860-879.
- 95 Early Child Care Research Network (2001). Nonmaternal care and family factors in early development: An overview of the NICHD Study of Early Child Care. *Applied Developmental Psychology*, 22, 457-492.
- 96 Howes, C. (1988). Relations between early child care and schooling. *Developmental Psychology*, 24(1), 53-57.
- 97 Lamb, M.R. (1998). Nonparental child care: Context, quality, correlates. W. Damon, (Ed.), & I.E. Seigel, & K.A. Renninger (Vol Eds.), *Handbook of child psychology, Vol. 4, Child psychology in practice* (5th Ed., pp. 73-134). New York: Wiley.
- 98 Loeb, S., Fuller, B., Kagan, S.L., & Carrol, B. (2004). Child care in poor communities: Early learning effects of type, quality, and stability. *Child Development*, 75, 47-65.
- 99 Pierrehumbert, B., Ramstein, T., Karmaniola, A., & Halfen, O. (1996). Child care in the preschool years: Attachment, behaviour problems and cognitive development. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, XI(2), 201-214.
- 100 Arnett, J. (1989). Caregivers in day care centers: Does training matter? *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 10, 541-552.
- 101 Barnett, W.S. (2003). *Low wages=low quality: Solving the real preschool teacher crisis*. New Brunswick, NJ: National Institute for Early Education Research.
- 102 Bowman, B.T., Donovan, M.S., & Burns, M.S. (2000). *Eager to learn: Educating our preschoolers*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- 103 Cost, Quality, and Child Outcomes Study Team, (1995). *Cost, quality and child outcomes in child care centers, public report*. Denver: CO: Economics Department, University of Colorado at Denver.
- 104 Fosburg, S. (1981). *Family day care in the United States: National Day Care Home Study: Vol. 1. Summary of findings*. Office of Human Development Services, DHHS Publication No. (OHDS) 80-30282. Washington, DC: Department of Health and Human Services.
- 105 Galinsky, E., Howes, C., Kontos, S. (1995). *The Family Child Care Training Study*. New York, NY: Families and Work Institute.
- 106 Howes, C., Whitebook, M., & Phillips, D. (1992). *Teacher characteristics and effective teaching in child care: Findings from the National Child Care Staffing Study*.

- Child & Youth Care Forum, 21(6), 399-414.
- 107 Kontos, S., Howes, C., Shinn, M. & Galinsky, E. (1995). *Quality in family child care and relative care*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- 108 Phillips, D., Mekos, D., Scarr, S., McCartney, K. & Abbott-Shim, M. (1995). Within and beyond the classroom door: Assessing quality in child care centers. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 15(4), 475-496.
- 109 Phillipsen, L.C., Burchinal, M.R., Howes, C., & Cryer, D. (1997). The prediction of process quality from structural features of child care. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 12, 281-303.
- 110 Norris, D.J. (2001). Quality of care offered by providers with differential patterns of workshop participation. *Child & Youth Care Forum*, 30(2), 111-121.
- 111 Whitebook, M. (2003). *Early education quality: Higher teacher qualifications for better learning environments—A review of the literature*. Berkeley, CA: Center for the Study of Child Care Employment.
- 112 Whitebook, M., Howes, C., & Phillips, D. (1990). *Who cares? Child care teachers and the quality of care in America. Final report of the National Child care Staffing Study*. Oakland, CA: Child Care Employee Project.
- 113 Honig, A.S. & Hirallal, A. (1999). Which counts more for excellence in childcare staff—years in service, education level or ECE coursework? *Early Child Development & Care*, 145, 31-46.
- 114 Ruopp, R., Travers, J. Glantz, R., & Coelen, C. (1979). *Children at the center: Vol. 1. The national day care study*. Cambridge, MA: Abt Associates.
- 115 Barnas, M.V. & Cummings, E.M. (1994). Caregiver stability and toddlers' attachment-related behavior towards caregivers in day care. *Infant Behavior & Development*, 17(2), 141-147.
- 116 De Schipper, J.C., Tevecchio, L.W.C., Van IJzendoorn, M.H. & Linting, M. (2003). The relation of flexible child care to quality of center day care and children's socio-emotional functioning: A survey and observational study. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 26(3), 300-325.
- 117 Hegde, A.V. & Cassidy, D.J. (2004). Teacher and parent perspectives on looping. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 32(2), 133-138.
- 118 Howes, C. and Hamilton, C.E. (1992). Children's relationships with caregivers: Mother

- and child care teachers. *Child Development*, 63, 850-878.
- 119 Whitebook, M., Sakai, L.M., & Howes, C. (2004). Improving and sustaining center quality: The role of NAEYC accreditation and staff stability. *Early Education & Development*, 15(3), 305-325.
- 120 Churchill, S.L. (2003). Goodness-of-fit in early childhood settings. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 31(2), 113-118.
- 121 Hamre, B.K. & Pianta, R.C. (2001). Self-reported depression in non-familial caregivers: prevalence and associations with caregiver behavior in child-care settings. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 19, 297-318.
- 122 Howes, C, Matheson, C.C., Hamilton, C.E. (1994). Children's relationships with peers: Differential associations with aspects of the teacher-child relationship. *Child Development*, 65, 253-263.
- 123 Howes, C., Phillips, D., Whitebook, M. (1992). Thresholds of quality: Implications for the social development of children in center-based child care. *Child Development*, 63, 449-460.
- 124 Pianta, R.C. & Stuhlman, M.W. (2004). Teacher-child relationships and children's success in the first years of school. *School Psychology Review*, 33(3), 444-458.
- 125 Sroufe, L.A. (1983). Infant-caregiver attachment and patterns of adaptation in preschool: The roots of maladaptation and competence. In M. Perlmutter (Ed.) *Minnesota Symposium on Child Psychology*, 16 (pp.41-81). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- 126 Bredekampe, S. & Copple, C. (Eds.) (1997). *Developmentally appropriate practice in early childhood programs* (Rev. ed.), Washington, DC: NAEYC.
- 127 Bruckman, M. & Blanton, P.W. (2003). Welfare-to-work single mothers' perspectives on parent involvement in Head Start: Implications for parent-teacher collaboration. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 30(3), 145-150.
- 128 Elicker, J., Noppe, I.C., Noppe, L.D., & Fortner-Wood, C. (1997). The Parent-Caregiver Relationship Scale: Rounding out the relationship system in infant child care. *Early Education and Development*, 8(1), 83-100.
- 129 Endsley, R.C., Minish, P.A., & Zhou, Q. (1993). Parent involvement and quality day care in proprietary centers. *Journal of Research in Childhood Education*, 7(2), 53
- 130 Ghazvini, A.S. & Readdick, C.A. (1994). Parent-caregiver communication and quality of care in diverse child care settings. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 9(2),

207-222.

- 131 Love, J. M., Kisker, E. E., Ross, C. M., Schochet, Peter Z., Brooks-Gunn, J., Paulsell, D., Boller, K., Constantine, J., Vogel, C., Fuligni, A. S., & Brady-Smith, C. (2002). *Making a difference in the lives of infants and toddlers and their families: The impacts of Early Head Start*. Washington, D.C.: Administration for Children and Families and the Head Start Bureau.
- 132 Owen, M.T., Ware, A.M., & Barfoot, B. (2000). Caregiver-mother partnership behavior and the quality of caregiver-child and mother-child interactions. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 15*(3), 413-428.
- 133 Peet, S.H., Powell, D.R. & O'Donnell, B.K. (1997). Mother-teacher congruence in perceptions of the child's competence and school engagement: Links to academic achievement. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 18*, 373-393.
- 134 Powell, D.R. (2001). Visions and realities of achieving partnership: Parent-school relationships at the turn of the century. In A. Goencue & E.L. Klein (Eds.), *Children in play, story, and school* (pp. 333-357). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- 135 Smith, A.B. & Hubbard, P.M. (1998). The relationship between parent/staff communication and children's behaviour in early childhood settings. *Early Child Development & Care, 35*, 13-28.
- 136 Ware, A.M., Barfoot, B., Rusher, A.S., & Owen, M.T. (March 1995). *The caregiver role in the parent-caregiver partnership: Its relationship to the child care environment*. Indianapolis, IN: Poster presented at the Biennial Meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development.
- 137 Kafer, K. (May 4, 2004). *A head start for poor children? Backgrounder #1755*. Retrieved May 4, 2005 from The Heritage Foundation Web site: <http://heritage.org/Research/Education/bg1755.cfm>.
- 138 Estrada, P., Arsenio, W.F., Hess, R.D., & Holloway, S.D. (1987). Affective quality of the mother-child relationship: Longitudinal consequences for children's school-relevant cognitive functioning. *Developmental Psychology, 23*, 210-215.
- 139 Kochanska, G. & Thompson, R.A. (1997). The emergence and development of conscience in toddlerhood and early childhood. In J.E. Grusec & L. Kuczynski (Eds.), *Parenting and children's internalization of values* (pp. 53-77). New York, NY: Wiley.

- 140 McCombs, B.L. (2004). The learner-centered psychological principles: A framework for balancing academic achievement and social-emotional learning outcomes. In J.E. Zins, R.P. Weissberg, M.C. Wang, & H.J. Herbert (Eds.), *Building academic success on social and emotional learning: What does the research say?* New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- 141 Murray, L., Cooper, P., & Hipwell, A. (2003). Mental health of parents caring for infants. *Archives of Women's Mental Health*, 6(Suppl.2), 71-77.
- 142 National Scientific Council on the Developing Child (2004a). *Young Children Develop in an Environment of Relationships, Working Paper No. 1*. Retrieved November 3, 2004 from <http://www.developingchild.net/reports.shtml>
- 143 National Scientific Council on the Developing Child (2004b). *Children's emotional development is built into the architecture of their brains, Working Paper No.2*. Retrieved May 3, 2005 from <http://www.developingchild.net/reports.shtml>
- 144 Pianta, R.C., Nimetz, S.L., & Bennett, E. (1997). Mother-child relationships, teacher-child relationships, and school outcomes in preschool and kindergarten. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 12, 263-280
- 145 Shapiro, E. & Biber, B. (1972). The education of young children: A developmental-interaction approach. *Teachers College Record*, 74(1), 55-79.
- 146 Waters, E., Kondo-Ikemura, K., Posada, G., & Richters, J.E. (1991). Learning to love: mechanisms and milestones. In M. Gunnar & L. Sroufe (Eds.), *Self processes and development. Minnesota Symposia on Child Psychology, Vol. 23*. (pp.217-255). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- 147 Zins, J.E., Bloodworth, M.R., & Weissberg, R.P. (2004). The scientific base linking social and emotional learning to school success. In J.E. Zins, R.P. Weissberg, M.C. Wang, & H.J. Herbert (Eds.), *Building academic success on social and emotional learning: What does the research say?*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- 148 Clark-Stewart, K.A. (1991). A home is not a school: The effects of child care on children's development. *Journal of Social Issues*, 47(2), 105-123.
- 149 Endsley, R.C. & Minish, P.A. (1991). Parent-staff communication in day care centers during morning and afternoon transitions. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 6, 119-135.

- 150 Powell, D.R. (1978). Correlates of parent-teacher communication frequency and diversity. *Journal of Educational Research*, 71, 333-343.
- 151 Zigler, E.F. & Turner, P. (1982). Parents and day care workers: A failed partnership? In E.F. Zigler & E.W. Gordon (Eds.), *Day care: Scientific and social policy issues* (pp. 174-182). Boston, MA: Auburn House.
- 152 Bernhard, J.K., Lefebvre, M.L., Kilbride, K.M., Chud, G., & Lange, R. (1997). Troubled relationships in early childhood education: Parent-teacher interactions in ethnically diverse child care settings. *Early Education & Development*, 9(1), 5-28.
- 153 Feagans, L.V. & Manlove, E.E. (1995). Parents, infants, and day-care teachers: Interrelations and implications for better child care. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 15(4), 585-602.
- 154 Franyo, G.A. & Hyson, M.C. (1999). Temperament training for early childhood caregivers: A study of the effectiveness of training. *Child & Youth Care Forum*, 28(5), 329-349.
- 155 Kontos, S. & Dunn, L. (1989). Attitudes of caregivers, maternal experiences with day care, and children's development. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 10(1), 37-51.
- 156 Kontos, S. & Wells, W. (1986). Attitudes of caregivers and the day care experiences of families. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 1, 47-67.
- 157 Powell, D.R. & Stremmel, A.J. (1989). Managing relations with parents: Research notes on the teacher's role. *Advances in Applied Developmental Psychology*, 2, 115-127.
- 158 Galinsky, E. (1990). Why are some parent-teacher partnerships clouded with difficulties? *Young Children*, July, 2-39.
- 159 Shpancer, N. (1999). Caregiver-parent relations in daycare: Testing the bugger hypothesis. *Early Child Development and Care*, 156, 1-14.
- 160 Sylvester, K. (2001). Caring for our youngest: Public attitudes in the United States. *Future of Children*, 11(1), 53-61.
- 161 Bowman, P., Grady, M., Kendrick, M., Ladew-Duncan, J., Mentzer, S., Newman, R., Pease, R., Son, K., & Spandinger, L. (1994) *From the heart: Stories by mothers of children with special needs*. Portland, ME: University of Southern Maine.
- 162 Elicker, J., Noppe, I.C., Noppe, L.D., & Fortner- Wood, C. (1997). The parent-caregiver relationship scale: Rounding out the relationship system in infant child

care. *Early Education & Development*, 8, 83-100.

- 163 Abidin, R.R. (1983). The parenting stress index. Charlottesville, VA: Pediatric Psychology Press.
- 164 Singer, J. (2007). The Brazelton Touchpoints Approach to Infants and Toddlers in Care: Foundation for a Lifetime of Learning and Loving. *Dimensions of Early Childhood*, 35, 3, 4-11.